

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,681	01/29/2001	Nils B. Lahr	39565A	5436
22206 7	22206 7590 11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP			ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF	
BAILEY & TIPPENS THE KENNEDY BUILDING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800			2155	
TULSA, OK 74103-3318			DATE MAD SD- 11/35/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summers	09/770,681	LAHR, NILS B.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shawki S Ismail	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
T - -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Statu	s S						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	ıgust 2004.					
•	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispo	osition of Claims						
4) 5) 6) 7)	 Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Appli	cation Papers						
9	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- · ·				
Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12	 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the complex of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attach	ment(s)						
1) 🔯 2) 🔲 3) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					
S Patent	and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Detailed Action

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment received on August 24, 2004. Claims 1 and 4-12 have been amended. Claim 13 has been newly added. Claims 1-13 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Chaddha et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,151,632 in view of Baker et al., U.S Patent No. 5,583,561.
- 4. As to claim 1, Chaddha teaches a system for duplicating data in a distributed data delivery network, comprising.

a data distributor (recast server 320, col. 6, lines 29-48), adapted to receive non-compressed multimedia data from a data source (source 302, col. 6, lines 29-34) and deliver said data to at least one edge server (i.e. secondary server) directly serving at least one user in said distributed data delivery network (network 300), and at least one of the following:

a first encoder (encoding server 304 col. 6, lines 29-48), adapted to encode said non-compressed multimedia data and provide encoded multimedia data to said non-

Application/Control Number: 09/770,681

Art Unit: 2155

compressed multimedia data distributor, which is adapted to deliver said encoded multimedia data along with said non-compressed multimedia data to said at least one edge server.

Chaddha does not specifically teach non-compressed multimedia data. Baker taught a video library as a source for non-compressed multimedia data (col. 7, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate an uncompressed video library to expand utility of the recaster. The techniques of data distribution would equally be applied for non-compressed data.

5. As to claim 2, Chaddha teaches the system claimed above, further comprising said first encoder (encoding server 304, col. 6, lines 29-48, Chaddha discloses an encoding server for encoding the video into a number of different bandwidth points, and associated indexes).

Chaddha does not specifically teach non-compressed multimedia data. Baker taught a video library as a source for non-compressed multimedia data (col. 7, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate an uncompressed video library to expand utility of the recaster. The techniques of data distribution would equally be applied for non-compressed data.

6. As to claim 4, Chaddha teaches the system claimed above, further comprising a

Application/Control Number: 09/770,681

Art Unit: 2155

data storage associated with at least one edge server and adapted to store said non-compressed multimedia data and said encoded multimedia data (mass storage 104, col. 1, lines 44-61, Chaddha discloses a mass storage devices used to store a number of video frames).

Chaddha does not specifically teach non-compressed multimedia data. Baker taught a video library as a source for non-compressed multimedia data (col. 7, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate an uncompressed video library to expand utility of the recaster. The techniques of data distribution would equally be applied for non-compressed data.

As to claim 5, Chaddha teaches the system as in claim 1, further comprising a data provider (secondary server 350 and 360, col. 6, lines 49-56, Chaddha discloses a secondary server that provides the video files to clients), adapted to provide one of said non-compressed multimedia data and said encoded multimedia data from at least one edge server to said user in response to a request from said user (clients 352, 354, 356, 362, and 364. col. 6, lines 49-56).

Chaddha does not specifically teach non-compressed multimedia data. Baker taught a video library as a source for non-compressed multimedia data (col. 7, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate an uncompressed video library to expand utility of

Art Unit: 2155

the recaster. The techniques of data distribution would equally be applied for noncompressed data.

- As to claim 6 and 12, they have combined limitations of claims 4 and 5; therefore 8. they are rejected under the same rationale.
- As to claim 7, Chaddha teaches a system for duplicating data in a distributed 9. data delivery network, comprising:

Receiving non-compressed multimedia data from a data source (source 302, col. 6, lines 29-34), and delivering said non-compressed multimedia data to at least one edge server directly serving at least one user in said distributed data delivery network (network 300); and at least one of the following:

Encoding said non-compressed multimedia data and providing encoded data to said data distributor (recastserver 320, col. 6, lines 29-48, which is adapted to deliver said encoded multimedia data along with said non-compressed multimedia data to at least one server.

Chaddha does not specifically teach non-compressed multimedia data. Baker taught a video library as a source for non-compressed multimedia data (col. 7, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate an uncompressed video library to expand utility of the recaster. The techniques of data distribution would equally be applied for noncompressed data.

As to claim 8, it has similar Imitations of claim 2; therefore it is rejected under the 10. same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,681 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

11. As to claim 10, it has similar limitations of claim 4; therefore it is rejected under the same rationale.

- 12. As to claim 11, it has similar limitations of claim 5; therefore it is rejected under the same rationale.
- 13. As to claim 13, Chaddha teaches a system comprising: a distributed data delivery network (recast server 320, col. 6, lines 29-48); and means for delivery of non-compressed multimedia data to at least one edge server (i.e. secondary server) directly serving at least one user in said distributed data delivery network (network 300).

Chaddha does not specifically teach non-compressed multimedia data. Baker taught a video library as a source for non-compressed multimedia data (col. 7, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to incorporate an uncompressed video library to expand utility of the recaster. The techniques of data distribution would equally be applied for non-compressed data.

- 14. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaddha et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,151,632 and in view of de Vries et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,704,738.
- 15. As to claim 3 and 9, Chaddha and Baker teach the system for duplicating noncompressed multimedia data in a data delivery network as shown above. Chaddha

Application/Control Number: 09/770,681

Art Unit: 2155

does not explicitly teach a second encoder which performs the encoding after the data distribution.

de Vries teaches a secondary server associated with at least one server and adapted to encode the data delivered by the data distributor to provide encoded data (encoder 36, col. 12, line 53 - col. 13, line 32, de Vries discloses a second encoder used for encoding after the distribution of data to the media database server 24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the inventions of Chaddha and de Vries to incorporate a second encoder after the distribution of data to allow the information to be sent to appropriate clients in an efficient and timely manner (col. 13, lines 34-37).

Response to arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/770,681 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shawki Ismail Patent Examiner November 10, 2004

> HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER